1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3			
4	April 19, 2023 - 9:03 a.m. 21 South Fruit Street Suite 10		
5	Concord, NH		
6	[Hearing also conducted via Webex]		
7			
8	RE:	DE 23-031 LIBERTY UTILITIES (GRANITE STATE	
9		ELECTRIC) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES: 2022 Vegetation	
10		Management Program Plan.	
11 12	PRESENT:	Chairman Daniel C. Goldner, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Pradip K. Chattopadhyay	
13		Alexander Speidel, Esq./PUC Legal Advisor	
14		Doreen Borden, Clerk & PUC Hybrid Hearing Host	
15 16	APPEARANCES:	State Electric) Corp. d/b/a	
17		Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.	
18		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Michael Crouse, Esq.	
19		Office of Consumer Advocate	
20		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy: Matthew C. Young, Esq.	
21		Paul B. Dexter, Esq. Jay Dudley, Electric Group	
22		(Regulatory Support Division)	
23	Court Rep	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
24			

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	SUMMARY OF THE DOCKET BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER	4
5	ISSUE RE: PREMARKED/PREFILED EXHIBIT	6
6		
7	WITNESS PANEL: ANTHONY STRABONE	
8	HEATHER GREEN HEATHER M. TEBBETTS	
9	Direct examination by Mr. Sheehan	7
10	Cross-examination by Mr. Young	11
11	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Chattopadhyay	19
12	Interrogatories by Chairman Goldner	26
13	Redirect examination by Mr. Sheehan	33
14		
15	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	
16	Mr. Crouse	36
17	Mr. Young	37
18	Mr. Sheehan	37
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Direct Testimony of Heather Green, Anthony Strabone, and Heather M. Tebbetts, with attachments	premarked
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2 4			

PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

1 4

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Good morning, everyone. I'm Chairman Goldner. I'm Presiding Officer for today's proceeding. I'm joined today by Commissioner Chattopadhyay.

We're here in Docket DE 23-031 for a hearing regarding the Petition by Liberty
Utilities (Granite State Electric) for approval of its Calendar Year 2022 Vegetation Management
Program Reconciliation and Rate Adjustment.

On March 15, 2023, Liberty submitted its Calendar Year 2022 Vegetation Management Program, or VMP, Reconciliation and Rate Adjustment filing, in which Liberty requested that the Commission approve a proposed rate adjustment, effective for service rendered on or after May 1st, 2023, to reconcile its Calendar Year 2022 VMP costs.

Following a procedural order on March 24th, 2023, the Commission commenced this adjudicative proceeding and scheduled the hearing in this docket.

We note that the Department of Energy filed a response letter regarding the Company's

1 Petition on April 12th, 2023, and we see that the 2. DOE indicated its assent to the Liberty proposal 3 in the docket within this filing. 4 Let's start with appearances, beginning 5 with the Company. 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning, 7 Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And the 10 New Hampshire Department of Energy? 11 MR. YOUNG: Good morning, 12 Commissioners. My name is Matthew Young, on 1.3 behalf of the Department of Energy. With me 14 today is Jay Dudley, who is a Utility Analyst on 15 this matter; as well as Paul Dexter, who is the 16 Senior Hearings Examiner and co-counsel on this 17 matter. 18 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Very good. And the 19 Office of the Consumer Advocate? 20 MR. CROUSE: Good morning, 2.1 Commissioners. My name is Michael Crouse. 2.2 the Staff Attorney with the Office of the 23 Consumer Advocate. 24 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Very good. Are

```
1
         there any other attendees here today?
 2.
                    [No indication given.]
 3
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Seeing none.
 4
                   Let's address the exhibits. We have
 5
         prefiled and premarked the Joint Exhibit List
 6
         filed on April 12th, 2023, with a single exhibit,
 7
         Exhibit 1. Does the Company or any other parties
 8
         expect to file any additional exhibits or do they
 9
         have any updates to the exhibit today?
10
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No, sir.
11
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Are there any
12
         objections to Exhibit 1?
1.3
                   MR. YOUNG: No objections.
14
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Seeing none.
                                                     Are
15
         there any other preliminary matters to be
16
         addressed today?
17
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Not from the Company.
18
                   MR. YOUNG: Not from the Department of
19
         Energy.
20
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
                                      Okay. Thank you.
2.1
         And, Mr. Crouse, you're okay as well?
2.2
                   MR. CROUSE: No objections.
23
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
24
         Let's have the Company witnesses, the witness
```

```
1
         panel, sworn in.
 2
                    (Whereupon ANTHONY STRABONE, HEATHER
 3
                    GREEN, and HEATHER M. TEBBETTS were
 4
                    duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
 5
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
 6
         We'll begin with Liberty direct.
 7
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
 8
                    ANTHONY STRABONE, SWORN
                      HEATHER GREEN, SWORN
 9
                   HEATHER M. TEBBETTS, SWORN
10
11
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
12
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
1.3
         I'll start with you, Mr. Strabone. Could you
14
         please introduce yourself, name and position with
15
         the Company?
16
         (Strabone) Good morning. My name is Anthony
17
         Strabone. I'm the Senior Director of Electric
18
         Operations. In that capacity, I'm responsible
19
         for the safe, reliable operation, design, and
20
         maintenance of the electric system for Liberty in
         New Hampshire.
21
22
         And, specific to Vegetation Management Programs,
23
         what role do you play?
24
         (Strabone) That ultimately falls under my
```

```
1
         jurisdiction and responsibility.
 2
         And the woman to your right is the one that's
 3
         more hands-on in actually carrying out the plan,
 4
         is that correct?
 5
         (Strabone) Absolutely.
 6
         Your name appears on the joint testimony that's
 7
         been marked as "Exhibit 1". Do you have any
 8
         changes to any parts of that testimony for which
 9
         you were responsible?
10
         (Strabone) No, I do not.
11
         And do you adopt that testimony as your testimony
12
         here today?
13
         (Strabone) Yes, I do.
14
         Thank you. Ms. Green, please give us your name
15
         and position with Liberty?
16
         (Green) My name is Heather Green. And I am the
17
         Manager of Vegetation Management for Liberty
18
         Utilities (electric) in New Hampshire. And I am
19
         in charge or responsible for the budget and all
20
         activities for safe reliability, in relation to
21
         the vegetation and our energized conductors.
22
    Q
         And, as Mr. Strabone mentioned, VMP is, like one
23
         of many things he's responsible for, this is your
24
         job mostly, is that correct?
```

1 (Green) That is correct. 2 You also participated in the drafting of the 3 testimony and exhibits that appears "Exhibit 1". 4 Do you have any changes you'd like to bring to 5 the Commission's attention this morning? 6 (Green) I do not. 7 And do you adopt Exhibit 1 as your sworn 8 testimony today? (Green) Yes, I do. 9 10 Thank you. Ms. Tebbetts, on the other side of 11 the country, please introduce yourself and your 12 position with Liberty? 1.3 (Tebbetts) Good morning. My name is Heather Tebbetts. And I am the Director of Business 14 15 Development. And I'm responsible in this docket 16 for the rate-related portion of the Vegetation 17 Management rate calculation. 18 Ms. Tebbetts, you also participated in the Q 19 testimony and exhibits attached that are 20 "Exhibit 1". Do you have any changes to those 21 portions for which you were responsible? 2.2 Α (Tebbetts) I do not. 23 And do you adopt that testimony as your sworn 24 testimony this morning?

```
1
          (Tebbetts) Yes.
 2
         And, Ms. Tebbetts, is it fair to say that the
 3
         bottom line of this hearing is to ask the
 4
         Commission to approve a small rate adjustment?
 5
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
 6
         And could you tell us what that rate adjustment
 7
         is, and what the bill impacts would be for a
 8
         typical customer?
 9
         (Tebbetts) Yes. The bill impact is a reduction
10
         of 2 cents per month, which equates to a
11
         reduction of 0.01 percent.
12
         And the way the rate change is implemented is
13
         through a factor in our bill, is that correct?
14
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
15
         And do you have the change or what that VMP
16
         factor will be as of May 1, if approved?
17
         (Tebbetts) That factor will be a refund of
18
         0.00002 cents -- I'm sorry, dollars per
19
         kilowatt-hour.
20
         And where in your schedules is that number found?
21
         (Tebbetts) That can be found in Attachment HMT-1,
22
         Page 3 of 7.
23
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. Those are all
24
         the questions I have. Thank you.
```

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay.
                                               Thank you.
 2
         We'll move to Attorney Crouse. And any OCA
 3
         examination of the witnesses?
 4
                    MR. CROUSE: I have no questions.
 5
         Thank you.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
 7
         Then, we'll move to the Department of Energy, and
 8
         Attorney Young.
 9
                    MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10
                    I just have a few questions for the
11
                 I'll try to direct the questions to the
12
         appropriate witness, but anyone may feel free to
1.3
         answer if that direction is misplaced.
14
                    So, I think the first few questions are
         for Ms. Tebbetts.
15
16
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. YOUNG:
18
         Referring to Exhibit 1, Bates Page 007, Lines 1
19
         to 7, and also Appendix 1, Line 16, I think we'll
20
          just turn to, I believe, Appendix 1, Line 16
21
         to 18, maybe that might just be easier.
2.2
    Α
         (Tebbetts) I'm sorry, what Bates page were you
23
         referring to?
24
         So, that's Bates Page 021.
```

```
1
          (Tebbetts) Thank you. Okay, I'm there.
 2
         Great. So, first, referring to the base level of
 3
         funding, the "$2.2 million", on Line 16, the
 4
         Company is entitled to collect this 2.2 million
 5
         for vegetation management as a result of the
 6
         previous rate case, is that correct?
 7
    Α
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
 8
         And then, on Line 17 -- I apologize, I'm on 18,
 9
         the Company may collect a 10 overage for
10
         prudently incurred costs, is that correct?
11
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
    Α
12
         And then, on the line above, on Line 17, that
13
         indicates that roughly $329,000 carryover, it
14
         stems from Order Number 26,624, is that correct?
15
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
    Α
16
         And that order discusses Liberty's authorization
17
         to carry over that $329,000 into the 2022 VMP
18
         budget, correct?
19
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
    Α
20
         And, in that order, it was discussed that that
21
         amount was to be utilized first, before any of
22
         the 2022 base budget funding, and that, if
23
         necessary, up to an additional 10 percent of the
24
         2022 base budget funding, is that correct?
```

```
1
          (Tebbetts) Yes.
 2
         So, then, rounding out this Appendix 1, on
 3
         Line 19, the Company could recover from
 4
         ratepayers, at most, roughly $2.75 million, which
 5
         is that figure indicated in Appendix 1, Line 19,
 6
         with the label "Total VMP Cap", is that correct?
 7
    Α
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
 8
         Great. So, turning back to Bates Page 007,
 9
         Lines 4 to 6, and I'll give you a moment to turn
10
         back.
11
         (Tebbetts) I'm there.
12
         So, the Company states that the total spending of
13
         roughly $3.2 million for 2022. Can you explain
14
         why that figure is an estimate?
15
    Α
         (Tebbetts) Actually, I think Ms. Green, given
16
         that she is in charge of the Vegetation
17
         Management Program, could be better suited to
18
         answer the question.
19
         Okay. Thank you. Ms. Green.
    Q
20
         (Green) And just to clarify, the question is Line
21
         4, the estimate of 3.2 is the question?
22
    Q
         Uh-huh.
23
         (Green) We were still collecting and processing
24
         invoices at the time of this report, and
```

```
1
         processing those -- for various reasons, we were
 2
         processing invoices later in the year.
 3
    Q
         Perfect. Thank you for clarifying. So, using
 4
         that estimate, that $3.2 million is approximately
 5
         $480,000 more than the 2.75 million we just
 6
         discussed, correct?
 7
         (Green) If that's what the math adds up to, then
    Α
 8
         that's what the math adds up to.
 9
    Q
         Well, I guess the final question would be that,
10
         can you confirm that the Company is not seeking
11
         to collect this overspending of roughly $480,000
12
         in the rate proposed in this docket?
13
         (Green) That is correct.
    Α
14
         Thank you. And will that amount be deferred to
15
         the upcoming rate case?
16
         (Green) As I understand, no. Heather can correct
17
         me if I'm wrong, but, no.
18
         Okay. Perfect. Thank you. So, moving on, I
    Q
19
         think staying with Ms. Green, looking at Appendix
20
         2, Lines 14 and 19.
21
         (Green) Page 22?
    Α
22
    Q
         Yes.
23
    Α
         (Green) Okay. Bates Page 022?
         That's correct, Bates Page 022. So, Lines 14
24
```

```
1
         and 19, could you just explain where the two
 2
         feeder locations are referenced there, I believe
 3
         that's 12L2 and 12L1, where those correlate to on
 4
         a map?
 5
          (Green) Do I have a map?
 6
         You don't.
 7
    Α
          (Strabone) I can chime in on that please.
 8
         Roughly.
 9
          (Strabone) So, the 12L1 and 12L2, as known here
10
         on Lines 14 and 19, referred to as --
11
                    [Court reporter interruption.]
    CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
12
          (Strabone) -- they're referred to as "Villas
1.3
14
         Bridge", that is down in our Walpole/Charlestown
15
         territory, which is located in the western part
16
         of the state, along the Vermont border.
17
    BY MR. YOUNG:
18
         Great. Thank you. And those are, I guess you
19
         would say, maybe "troublesome areas", correct?
20
         (Strabone) Correct.
21
         So, then, turning to, I guess, Line 19
22
         specifically, it looks like zero miles were
23
         planned in those areas, 5 miles were trimmed.
                                                          Ι
24
         guess, could you just explain maybe that
```

```
1
         discrepancy, between --
 2
         (Green) It had to do with the pivoting we were
 3
         doing last year. And, so, we got this mechanized
         equipment on the property last year. So, in
 4
 5
         order to adjust things and make things work, we
 6
         pulled in 5 miles of 2023 to accommodate the
 7
         workforce we had at the time.
 8
         Okay.
    Q
 9
         (Green) And that was -- the decision was made
10
         earlier in the year, before we had some other
11
         budgetary concerns.
12
         So, I guess, similarly, could the panel briefly
1.3
         maybe just describe if or how the report that is
14
         provided as Appendix 3 addresses any reliability
15
         problems that may have been raised in the
16
         Company's IRP regarding this Charlestown
17
         area/Walpole area?
18
         (Green) Can I try to rephrase that question?
19
         Sure. Of course.
20
         (Green) You're asking, in the next Appendix 3, --
21
         Uh-huh.
2.2
         (Green) -- the reports for the Calendar Year 2023
         plan? And can you restate, now that I understand
23
24
         that's what the context of the question is, can
```

```
1
         you repeat the question?
 2
         Sure. So, in the Company's IRP, in Docket DE
 3
         21-004, specifically Docket Tab 27, the Company
 4
         indicated that there were certain reliability
 5
         problems within the Charlestown/Walpole area.
 6
         So, the question is, how does the Appendix 3
 7
         provide any context on how those reliability
         issues will be addressed?
 8
 9
         (Green) I can say that, as we move -- I can't
10
         point to pages or comments in this report, but,
11
         as an overall strategy, I can say, with the
12
         deferred miles that we have, the decision to make
1.3
         sure we didn't defer 12L1 or 12L2 any further,
14
         they were held "top priority", as far as
15
         scheduling the deferred circuits.
16
                    So, for 2022 and 2023, both of those
17
         were priority circuits to be worked.
18
         Okay. Thank you.
19
         (Green) Thank you.
    Α
20
         Staying with Appendix 3, on Bates Page 029, under
21
         the heading of "Deferred work", the Company
         states that "Based on certain information
2.2
23
         regarding the makeup of crews and revenue
24
         shortfall, the Company has 214 miles that are
```

```
1
         deferred."
 2
                    So, I guess, just to clarify, does that
         mean that, over the past four years, the Company
 3
 4
         has under trimmed by 214 miles?
 5
         (Green) It has accumulated to 214.
 6
         Of deferred miles?
 7
         (Green) Correct.
    Α
 8
         That weren't trimmed, is that correct?
 9
         (Green) Correct.
10
         Okay. Thank you. And then, my last question, in
11
         last year's filing, Docket Number DE 22-014,
         there was a discussion of litigation between the
12
1.3
         Company and a contractor for outstanding invoice
14
         amounts for work not performed as contracted for.
15
                    So, I guess could the panel, or maybe
16
         counsel, in closing, maybe just provide a brief
17
         update on where things stand? I just believe
18
         that may be helpful for the record.
19
                   MR. SHEEHAN: I'd be glad to do that in
20
         closing, as I'm the one most familiar with where
21
         that stands.
22
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
23
         Thank you.
24
                    MR. YOUNG: And that's all the
```

```
1
         questions for the Department.
 2
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you,
         Attorney Young. That was very helpful.
 3
 4
                   We'll turn now to Commissioner
 5
         questions, and Commissioner Chattopadhyay.
 6
                   MR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Good morning.
 7
    BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
 8
         Let's go to Exhibit 1, Bates Page 007. And give
 9
         me some time to go there as well.
10
                    So, on the point about the "anticipated
11
         total spending", at this point have you found out
12
         what the number is?
13
         (Green) They are still working on that number.
14
         Is it going to be -- do you have a sense whether
15
         it's going to be more than what's been estimated?
16
         (Green) Yes.
17
         Okay.
18
         (Green) Yes, anticipate it will be more than
19
         what's estimated.
20
         Okay. I mean, you don't have to go there. I'm
21
         just going to read this from Bates Page 014,
22
         Lines 12 through 14. "The Company spent 209,000,
23
         roughly, less than budget on hazard tree removals
24
         due to the need to suspend the removal program to
```

```
reallocate funds to other contracted work on the
 1
 2.
         system that came in higher than anticipated."
 3
                    So, can you just give me a sense of
 4
         what the other contracted works were?
 5
         (Green) The work in Salem, the 60 miles that we
 6
         had in the plan, did not have -- the local
 7
         contractor did not have a dedicated crew. So, we
 8
         went out for a bid to get that work done. So --
 9
         I'm just trying to make sure I'm answering your
10
         question. So, when we went out for a bid for
11
         that, we were able to secure two contractors, one
12
         for each of the 30-mile circuits.
1.3
                    Did that answer the question? No.
14
         Could you restate the question?
15
         So, you moved some dollars from hazard tree
    0
16
         removals --
17
         (Green) Okay.
18
         -- to other contracted jobs. I'm trying to get a
19
         sense of, you know, what those contracted jobs
20
         were, and why was it sort of necessary to do
21
         that?
2.2
         (Green) When we lost with the -- the mention of
23
         the contractor who walked off the property, we --
24
         and we went back to our incumbent contractor,
```

1 they had lost their crews. So, the crew that we 2. had dedicated in Salem, they could not secure 3 back a dedicated crew. So, they weren't able to 4 perform the Salem work as planned in 2020. 5 in order to get that work done, we needed to go 6 out for a bid. When we went out for a bid, 7 those -- the ultimate availability was 8 significantly higher cost per mile. In order to 9 get the miles done, we moved forward with that, 10 and then made cuts in other parts of the budget. 11 Q Okay. 12 (Strabone) And, if I also may add, one of the 1.3 other significant drivers is also the cost of 14 traffic control. Those costs came in 15 significantly higher than what was originally 16 planned, just due to increased costs with local 17 police departments. And that also required us to 18 pivot internally with the plan to back off on 19 other parts of the trim to accommodate for the 20 overspend. Do you have any control over the police, telling 21 2.2 them you can't spend this much money, or, no, 23 right? 24 (Strabone) We cannot control the rates from the

```
1
         police departments.
 2
         Okay.
 3
          (Strabone) Those are -- those are ultimately the
 4
         rates that are provided. Some towns will allow
 5
         us to use flaggers, which we can use for cost
 6
         savings. Other towns require only local police
 7
         officers, or, ultimately, if they can't fill it,
 8
         say, in the Town of Walpole, they will reach out
 9
         to other local police departments to pull in a
10
         police detail, police officers as well.
11
    Q
         So, are you indicating that the rates went up?
12
          (Strabone) Yes.
1.3
         That's the --
    Q
14
          (Strabone) Significantly.
15
         Okay.
    0
16
          (Green) Additionally, the locations -- location
17
         requirement of traffic detail went up as well.
18
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. I'm really
19
         going back to the docket from last year, 22-014,
20
         and I'm sort of curious where the situation with
21
         Consolidated is. You know, can the attorney
2.2
         provide me a little bit of context what's going
23
         on with them?
24
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Yes.
```

2.

1.3

2.2

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I know that you also indicated that you will talk about the -- I think the ClearWay situation. So, I'll let you do that later.

But, and just to make sure that, you know, what I also am curious about is, is the litigation still going on? And I remember that you had said something like "It would be happening a year later or so." So, I'm just giving you a heads up as to what issues you might want to cover.

MR. SHEEHAN: I can do it now, if you'd like?

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Oh, sure. Please.

MR. SHEEHAN: On ClearWay, we did file suit, it is in litigation. We've been doing discovery. The trial was scheduled for this summer, it just got bumped to January of 2024. Obviously, we hope we don't have to go to trial, we hope we can resolve it out of trial. We're trying to schedule a mediation with the other parties end of May or early June, which will be the first real attempt to sit down and see if

1.3

2.2

there can be a resolution. Frankly, it's been a very slow process of getting discovery, getting answers out of the other side.

But it is in litigation. And my guess is one of two things is going to happen. Is they have some money that they can come up and we can reach an agreed settlement, or they don't, and we may be chasing a company without assets. We're not sure yet. But that's where we are.

"We have no remedy." They used to contribute X dollars every year for -- let me back up. Of course, Consolidated and its predecessors own half -- we jointly own many poles with them. And the agreements between our predecessors and Consolidated's predecessors provided for the contribution of X dollars. Those old contracts, the governing language was from 1980, allowed Consolidated simply to say "We're no longer going to do that with" I think it was "a one year's notice." And they gave that notice, and they have walked away from making those payments.

And you can always scratch your head and say "Why could that happen?" And the only

2.

1.3

2.2

explanation I can give you, and this I think was in a conversation with Mr. Frantz as a matter of fact, that, back then, they were both regulated utilities. And the concept of -- I mean, they were able to recover their costs through rates.

So, it never occurred to anyone that 30 years later they would be largely unregulated, and they could walk away. So, the language simply didn't cover that scenario of being able to just leave the obligation.

So, that's where we are. They said "We're not paying anymore", and we're stuck with that.

I do know, from my research, that the agreements between other utilities and Consolidated all are slightly different. And I know there's been litigation in there trying to fight this issue. But it is different language from contracts that are of different vintage.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, overarchingly, can you give me a sense of, like, because of that, are they -- how much dollars are being shifted to ratepayers?

MR. SHEEHAN: Ms. Green can confirm,

```
but it's roughly half a million dollars a year,
 1
         is that correct? It was.
 2.
 3
                   WITNESS GREEN: It's 300 to 800,000,
 4
         depending on the work that we did.
 5
                   MR. SHEEHAN: And some poles, some
 6
         areas we worked, they had to contribute more.
 7
                   WITNESS GREEN: Twenty (20) percent
         versus 50 percent, depending on the activity.
 8
 9
                   MR. SHEEHAN: So, to answer your
10
         question, it sounds like it ranged between 3 and
11
         $800,000 a year is what that contribution was.
12
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Thank you.
1.3
         That's all I have.
14
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Maybe just a
15
         couple of follow-up questions, and we'll wrap it
16
         up from a Commissioner point of view.
17
    BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
18
         I want to come back to this "police department"
19
         piece that was referred to earlier. So, kind of
20
         what's a typical rate from a police department,
21
         and what would it look like at Walpole, in the
         example that was in the testimony? What was the
2.2
23
         difference?
24
         (Green) Walpole runs about $75 an hour, 15 of
```

```
1
         that is administrative costs. Salem runs about
 2
         $63, $62 an hour, that includes -- that's just
 3
         flat, no over -- there is no difference in
 4
         overtime or crews or anything like that. So,
 5
         the -- and my -- oh, that's your question.
 6
         And what would kind of an average be or what
 7
         would you -- who is your low-cost producer in
 8
         this area, like, what's the lowest that you pay
 9
         for a particular --
10
         (Green) Non-police?
11
         For police.
12
         (Green) For police? Sixty-two (62), 63 is low.
13
         And, normally, Walpole has not been as volumous.
14
         I see. So, it's really, you were doing a lot of
    Q
15
         work in the Walpole region, their rate was a --
16
         not that much higher, $63 to $75. So, it was $12
17
         more. And I'm just trying to connect the dots on
18
         I think it was 250K, something like that, so --
19
         or, maybe it was 150K, you'll have to correct me.
20
         But that was really an issue of it was unexpected
21
         volume, more than unexpected rates?
22
    Α
         (Green) Correct.
23
         So, it only would have been $12 an hour
24
         different?
```

```
1
         (Green) They did -- they completely redefined the
 2
         areas that required traffic control.
 3
    Q
         Oh, I see. I see. Okay. That's helpful. And
 4
         then, can you just, for the Commission, can you
 5
         just help us understand what was that change in
 6
         definition, in terms of where you needed police
 7
         or where you didn't before?
 8
         (Green) Yes. Previously, we didn't need to
 9
         provide traffic control on a dead-end road or a
10
         low-volume road, and that changed.
11
         Seems like a sensible rule, yes.
    Q
12
         (Green) That definition changed.
13
         Really? So, on a dead-end road, you're required
14
         to provide -- you're required to have police
15
         flaggers, in Walpole?
16
         (Green) Police, yes. Correct.
17
         Wow. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Strabone, I think
18
         you were going to say something?
19
         (Strabone) I was just going to add one comment,
    Α
20
         and Ms. Green can correct me. But, I believe,
21
         also through the planned year, they removed
22
         the -- they removed us from allow -- they no
         longer allowed us to use flaggers, and we had to
23
24
         use local police, which also was a driver of the
```

```
1
                Was that this year or previous?
 2
         (Green) They did require the use of police
 3
         period. They did allow us to add flaggers, but
 4
         it wasn't -- wasn't economical, in that it wasn't
 5
         appropriate. We would have had more bodies, put
 6
         it that way. We would have had just as many
 7
         police and more bodies of flaggers.
 8
         And how did these new rules come to you? Is that
    Q
 9
         from -- what's the sort of statutory authority
10
         that they're using?
11
         (Green) When we approach them, as we do every
12
         town, to say "Hey, we're about to work in your
1.3
         town", and confirm the roads that we're using
14
         traffic control on, they gave us a new
15
         definition. There are new people in the role
16
         than previously. And I can say, four years ago
17
         is the last time we did it, we had about $38,000
18
         of traffic control, before the ordinance was in
19
         effect. And it was the ordinance that they cite.
20
         Okay. So, it was the same ordinance, but
21
         different people enforcing the ordinance?
22
    Α
         (Green) Correct.
23
         And did Liberty, or any other of the parties
24
         here, was anyone involved in sort of challenging
```

1 or asking questions about how the ordinance could 2. be the same, but the application was now 3 different? 4 (Green) There was a meeting that was had. 5 did back off a little bit, but not back to where 6 they were previously. 7 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Hmm. I'd like to 8 ask the Department a question. When this kind of 9 thing comes up, where there's sort of much larger 10 costs because of an ordinance that's interpreted 11 differently in a town, is there anything that the 12 Department would recommend to Liberty, if those 1.3 kinds of circumstances are encountered in coming 14 years? 15 MR. DEXTER: Well, nothing specific comes to mind. But it's sort of the situation 16 17 that we encounter when we ask about property tax 18 abatements. We understand that the utilities 19 have ongoing relationships with the towns in 20 which they serve, and that, to a certain extent, 21 it's important that they maintain good 2.2 relationships with the town. 23 So, other than what Ms. Green

described, which is actually to sit down and say

24

1.3

"What's going on, you know, same ordinance?"

And, apparently, there was some give-and-take.

But, no, the Department doesn't have anything specific, other than to try to maintain contact and good relations with the town, provide them as much notice as possible, and indicate to them that these costs ultimately get borne by customers, including customers of the town where they are.

Department look at these ordinances and, in any way, interpret the ordinances? Because one could assume, in this case, that one of two things happened: Either the prior folks in the town were misinterpreting the ordinance, or the new people in the town are misinterpreting the ordinance, because it changed.

Does the Department support Liberty, or perhaps the OCA, in terms of sort of working through some of these interpretation issues, or is Liberty sort of on their own to sort it out?

MR. DEXTER: Well, we wouldn't be there at the time. I could see, in an after-the-fact situation, where the Department might review the

2.

1.3

2.2

ordinances and say "What's going on here, there was no change?" We did not do that in this instance.

But I don't envision a situation where the Department would somehow be involved in the negotiations or meetings between the town and the Company. I don't think that would fall into our role.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Mr. Crouse, any comments?

MR. CROUSE: I think, similarly, to the comments Paul Dexter made, that isn't something that I had considered. I can certainly circle back and give you a more appropriate response.

But I do not foresee that necessarily being something the OCA would be involved in on the floor. But I can see after-the-fact that we might review those ordinances and wondering what might be taking place.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I see. Maybe in closing, Mr. Young, if you could perhaps touch on -- touch on the topic. And, if it would be helpful for the Commission to ask about review of this particular ordinance, which appeared to have

```
an extraordinary cost, if that would be something
 1
 2.
         that the Department would want to consider
 3
         reviewing as a part of this docket? If you could
 4
         please just address that in closing.
 5
                    Okay. I think that's all I have.
 6
         can move to Attorney Sheehan, and redirect.
 7
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. Just to close
 8
         a few circles.
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
10
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
11
         On the work done on the two Charlestown circuits,
12
         12L1/12L2, you mentioned that you prioritized
1.3
         them for the reasons of their reliability issues
14
         being out in the middle of the woods, for lack of
15
         a better phrase. What work has been completed or
16
         will be completed in '22/'23 on those circuits?
17
         Will they both be addressed?
18
         (Green) The pruning and flat-cutting will be --
19
                    [Court reporter interruption.]
20
    CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
21
         (Green) -- pruning and brush cutting on both
22
         circuits are anticipated to be completed.
23
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
24
         Okay. The 480,000 so-called "overspend" that the
```

```
1
         Company is not seeking recovery of, that is costs
 2.
         that, is it fair to say, costs that the Company
 3
         simply decided we had to spend to do important
         work, knowing that we wouldn't get recovery for
 4
 5
         that, is that fair?
 6
          (Green) That is correct.
 7
         And what's in rates now is the 2.2 million, plus
 8
         the 10 percent. So, it comes to about 2.4
 9
         million per year. Is it fair to say that's
10
         simply not enough to do the work that should be
11
         done, in your view?
12
          (Green) That is correct.
1.3
         And it's something that the Company will be
14
         addressing in the upcoming rate case filing?
15
    Α
          (Green) Yes. That is correct.
16
         And of the costs that you supervise, the Veg.
17
         Management costs, as we were talking about police
18
         costs, the Company has very little control over
19
         that, is that correct?
20
          (Green) That is correct.
21
         The crews we hire are all done through the RFP
    Q
22
         process, is that right?
          (Green) That is correct.
23
    Α
24
         And those costs have gone up in recent years?
```

```
1
         (Green) Significantly, yes.
 2
         Okay. The internal costs, for Veg. Management,
 3
         is mostly you, and whatever support you have to
 4
         manage all these outside folks?
 5
         (Green) Yes. That is correct.
 6
                   MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have.
 7
         you?
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Just a follow-up
 8
 9
         question, Mr. Sheehan, for you.
10
                   Am I remembering correctly, in that the
11
         recent -- that Liberty (electric) will have a --
12
         you have a coming rate case filing, right?
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. We filed a notice
1.3
14
         two weeks ago, and we're -- a week from Friday
15
         you should receive it. And that's what is
16
         keeping us all busy these days.
17
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Very good. And I
18
         assume, and this is just for, you know,
19
         clarification, that your test year will probably
20
         be 2022. So, these costs, this 480K or so, will
21
         show up as sort of your baseline in the test
2.2
         year, is that true?
23
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No, I think that the --
24
         that $480,000 is gone. We spent it in a year,
```

and it would be -- either we're not going to include it or it would be proformed out of it.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

What I think you will see is simply a bigger number that we are going to ask to be included in rates for Veg. Management. The 480 will be not addressed.

So, yes, it will be a test year number for Veg. Management, which will show something in the 2.whatever million dollar range. But the request going forward will be for a larger number.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Higher. Okay, I see. Okay, preview of coming attractions. Thank you.

Okay. Very good. So, we'll address the striking of IDs here shortly. And we can begin with any closing statements, beginning with the Office of the Consumer Advocate.

MR. CROUSE: Thank you.

The Office of the Consumer Advocate is supportive of the rate decrease proposed by Liberty in their filing.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, Attorney Crouse. And the Department of Energy.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Department has reviewed the filing, and conducted the necessary due diligence. On Thursday, April 6, 2023, the parties held a technical session to discuss Liberty's filing and clarify certain issues raised by the Department. Based on the technical session and the record to date, the Department supports the rate decrease proposed in the filing.

2.

1.3

1 4

2.1

2.2

The Department will be reviewing the Company's proposed Vegetation Management Plan in the upcoming rate case.

And, regarding the local ordinance issues that were discussed here today, I think, at this point, I would say that the Department is aware of the issues. And, other than addressing them after-the-fact, as previously discussed, I think the Department would need to discuss internally best way to, I guess, address them, these issues, moving forward.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Young. And the Company, Attorney Sheehan.
MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.

We appreciate the support of the OCA

and the DOE for the rate change. And we ask that the Commission approve it.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Just a couple brief thoughts on the "police cost" issue. My understanding is the ordinance didn't change, but it had something --vague language about the safety of -- that the chief has the authority to decide what's "safe". And you have a different person, and thinks "safe" means "a police car at a dead-end road", and I think that's the source of it.

There is a state statute, and I was looking for it, I can't find it, that they all point to that gives them the authority to tell us what to do on their streets, which makes sense.

And, occasionally, a bill gets before the Legislature to try to fix this problem, and it doesn't go very far, because the police officers show up. It's, obviously, a revenue issue for them, again, understandable.

So, on that particular issue, we're between a rock and a hard place. And it's politically inexpedient for us to go in and fight that as utilities. Maybe, at some point, the critical mass will happen and we can get that

1 changed. So, that's been an issue on all of the 2 utilities, the police, for a number of years now. 3 And it's also clear from what you're 4 hearing that they're speaking to each other, and 5 more towns are going away from flaggers at \$15 or \$20 an hour, and requiring police officers. So, 7 I think that trend will probably continue, unfortunately. 9 So, thank you. And we appreciate the Commission's attention. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you. So, we'll strike identification on 12 Exhibit 1 and admit it into evidence. 1.3 14 Is there anything else that we need to 15 cover today? 16 [No verbal response.] 17 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right. Very 18 good. 19 So, if there's no further matters, 20 we'll take the matter under advisement and issue 2.1 an order by the close of business on April 24th, 2.2 2023, as requested by the Company in its 23 Petition. 24 And the hearing is adjourned. Thank

```
1
          you.
 2
                      (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
 3
                      at 9:47 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```